ISSN 2277-2685
IJESR/Jan-Mar. 2025/ Vol-15/Issue-1/633-640
Emmanuel Ebo Budu / International Journal of Engineering & Science Research

Advancements in Fire and Safety Engineering for Risk Reduction in Modern Infrastructure

Emmanuel Ebo Budu-Mensah
Research Scholar, Fire and Safety Engineering, Kennedy University of Baptist
Registration No.: KUBS20220143255

Abstract

Fire incidents in modern infrastructure continue to cause significant loss of life, property, and economic disruption, demanding continuous advancement in fire and safety engineering. This study examines the latest developments in fire risk reduction strategies applied to contemporary built environments, including high-rise buildings, bridges, and industrial facilities. The primary objectives were to evaluate the effectiveness of emerging technologies such as IoT-based detection, AI-driven prediction models, and performance-based structural fire design, and to assess fire incidence patterns in India using NCRB data. A secondary analytical methodology was adopted using published datasets from NCRB, NFPA, and peer-reviewed studies from 2020–2024, synthesized through comparative tabulation and statistical review. The hypothesis posited that integration of digital technologies with performance-based design significantly lowers fatalities and property loss. Results indicated that buildings equipped with sprinklers recorded an 89% lower death rate, AI-IoT systems achieved detection accuracy above 95%, and performance-based design approaches substantially improved evacuation and structural response outcomes. The discussion highlighted systemic gaps in code compliance in India. It is concluded that multi-layered engineering approaches integrating detection, materials, and evacuation planning are essential.

Keywords: *Fire safety engineering, risk reduction, modern infrastructure, IoT fire detection, performance-based design*

1. Introduction

Fire hazards remain one of the most persistent threats to modern infrastructure, claiming thousands of lives annually and causing irreversible damage to buildings, bridges, and critical facilities. Rapid urbanization, increased building heights, denser occupancy patterns, and the growing use of combustible cladding and synthetic materials have fundamentally altered the fire risk landscape over the past two decades (Franchini et al., 2024). In India, the National Crime Records Bureau's Accidental Deaths and Suicides in India (ADSI) report documented 7,435 fire-related deaths in 7,566 fire accidents during 2022, with more than half occurring in residential dwellings (NCRB, 2023). Such figures underscore the urgent need for enhanced fire safety engineering to protect life and property in modern infrastructure. Traditional prescriptive fire codes, though foundational, have struggled to keep pace with architectural innovations such as super-tall towers, mixed-use complexes, tunnels, and mass-timber structures (Craig & Naser, 2024). In response, the discipline of fire safety engineering has undergone significant transformation, moving from rigid prescriptive approaches toward performance-based design frameworks that quantify risk, model fire dynamics, and optimize protection systems based on specific building characteristics and occupancy profiles (Craig & Naser, 2024). Simultaneously, the integration of Internet of Things (IoT) sensors, artificial intelligence (AI), machine learning algorithms, and Building Information Modeling (BIM) has opened new possibilities for real-time monitoring, predictive analytics, and adaptive evacuation planning (Khan et al., 2024).

Advances in fire-resistant materials, including intumescent coatings, ultra-high-performance concrete, and phase change materials embedded in structural elements, have further strengthened the passive defence against fire propagation (Kovalchuk et al., 2024). Active systems, particularly automatic sprinklers, have demonstrated compelling statistical evidence of effectiveness, with NFPA data indicating an 89% reduction in civilian fire death rates when sprinklers are present and operating (Ahrens & Evarts, 2021). Despite these technological advancements, implementation gaps persist, especially in developing countries where regulatory enforcement, fire service modernization, and public awareness remain weak (Rush et al., 2020). This paper critically examines the current state of fire safety engineering advancements in modern infrastructure. It synthesizes data from national and international sources, including NCRB records, NFPA reports, and recent peer-reviewed studies, to evaluate how emerging technologies and design methodologies reduce fire risk. The study also contextualizes these advancements within the Indian infrastructure scenario and highlights the policy and technical interventions needed to translate engineering innovation into meaningful risk reduction outcomes for communities and stakeholders (Drishti IAS, 2024).

2. Literature Review

Contemporary scholarship on fire safety engineering reflects a paradigm shift from prescriptive compliance to performance-based, data-driven risk management. Franchini et al. (2024) argued that fire hazards remain underrepresented in bridge design, proposing a holistic engineering approach integrating innovative fire analysis with multi-hazard risk modeling frameworks for resilient infrastructure. Craig and Naser (2024), reviewing performance-based design advancements, observed that European and Oceanian jurisdictions lead global efforts, while prescriptive practices dominate elsewhere, limiting design optimization and cost efficiency in fire-exposed structures. Regarding detection and monitoring, Dampage et al. (2022) demonstrated the superiority of IoT-based systems over conventional detectors using wireless sensor networks and machine learning. Khan et al. (2024) reviewed IoT-enabled fire safety systems and highlighted that integration of multi-parameter sensors with cloud analytics significantly reduces detection latency and false alarms. Al Chalabi et al. (2024) advanced this direction through an ESP32-based pre-fire alert embedded system, showing that sensor fusion enables reliable very early fire detection. Jeong et al. (2024) proposed a Transformer-encoder model for sensor-based indoor fire forecasting, outperforming traditional machine learning and recurrent networks on complex time-series datasets, confirming the growing maturity of deep-learning approaches.

Machine learning applications have expanded rapidly. Won et al. (2024) demonstrated that a stacking ensemble model combining 16 distinct algorithms outperformed individual classifiers in building fire risk prediction, with the highest-risk category capturing 54% of actual fires despite representing only 22% of buildings. Sharma et al. (2024) developed statistical and machine learning models for predicting fire and emergency events at the fire-station level, enabling resource allocation planning for municipal fire services. These findings confirm the transformative role of AI in fire safety but also highlight challenges regarding dataset representativeness, explainability, and edge deployment. Structural and material research has similarly progressed. Kodur et al. (2020), whose work remains widely cited, emphasized that fire resistance of structural members depends on material properties, load levels, and fire exposure characteristics, advocating rational performance-based assessments. Reza (2023) discussed the inherent fire resistance of steel and enhancements through intumescent coatings and alloying. Kovalchuk et al. (2024) experimentally validated reactive fire-retardant coatings that provide over R120 fire resistance on structural steel, highlighting polymer binders and nano-clays as key efficiency drivers.

On evacuation and building management, Li et al. (2023) proposed a hybrid IoT–intelligent algorithm framework coupling Emperor Penguin Colony and Particle Swarm Optimization for dynamic evacuation routing in smart buildings. Junfeng et al. (2023) extended fire evacuation risk modeling by introducing a hybrid ASET-RSET and FED-based mapping method that better represents dynamic hazard propagation. Collectively, the literature affirms that fire safety engineering has matured into a multidisciplinary field, but implementation-level challenges, particularly in developing contexts such as India, continue to demand integrated policy-technology responses (Rush et al., 2020).

3. Objectives

1. To examine advancements in fire safety engineering technologies including IoT-based detection, AI prediction, performance-based design, and fire-resistant materials for risk reduction in modern infrastructure.
2. To analyze fire incidence patterns and the effectiveness of fire protection systems using published national and international data, with reference to Indian infrastructure challenges.

4. Methodology

This paper adopts a descriptive-analytical research design based on secondary data synthesis, which is appropriate for engineering policy and technology review studies where primary experimentation is not feasible within the scope. The research design is non-experimental and retrospective, combining quantitative data extraction with qualitative interpretation of technological trends. The sampling frame comprised peer-reviewed journal articles, authoritative institutional reports, and official government datasets published between 2020 and 2024. The sample was purposively selected from Web of Science, Scopus, ScienceDirect, Springer, MDPI, Nature, NFPA publications, and the National Crime Records Bureau of India. A total of more than sixty sources were initially screened, of which twenty high-quality references were retained for analytical triangulation based on relevance, methodological rigor, and recency. The tools used in this study included bibliometric filtering criteria, comparative tabulation frameworks, and descriptive statistical review. Data extraction followed a structured protocol capturing author, year, technology category, reported effectiveness metrics, study context, and geographic scope. The analytical technique involved categorizing evidence into five thematic clusters: detection systems, structural materials, performance-based design, evacuation modeling, and national fire statistics. Each cluster was synthesized into tables presenting verified quantitative data drawn directly from cited sources, followed by statistical interpretation of proportional reductions, accuracy percentages, and incidence rates. Reliability was enhanced by cross-verification of statistics across at least two independent sources where possible, particularly for NCRB and NFPA figures. Ethical considerations involved transparent attribution and adherence to academic citation norms. The methodology ensures reproducibility, minimizes interpretive bias, and supports objective inference regarding fire safety engineering advancements and their measurable impacts till 2024.

5. Results

Table 1. Fire Accident Cases and Fatalities in India (NCRB Data, 2020–2022)

Year	Total Fire Accidents	Fatalities	Residential Dwelling Cases	% of Total in Residential
2020	9,329	9,110	5,023	53.8%

2021	8,736	7,566	4,623	52.9%
2022	7,566	7,435	4,028	53.2%

Source: NCRB, 2023; FACTLY, 2024

Table 1 shows that fire accidents in India decreased by approximately 19% from 2020 to 2022, and fatalities declined from 9,110 to 7,435 over the same period. Residential dwellings consistently accounted for more than 52% of cases, indicating persistent domestic fire vulnerability. The reduction may reflect improved awareness and code enforcement, though residential dominance suggests that household-level interventions, particularly electrical safety and gas handling, remain critical priorities for fire risk reduction in Indian infrastructure.

Table 2. State-wise Share of Fire Accidents in India (2014–2022 Average)

State	Average Share of Fire Accidents	Key Risk Factor
Madhya Pradesh	23%	High industrialization
Maharashtra	19%	Dense urbanization
Gujarat	13%	Chemical industries
Karnataka	12%	IT-industrial mix
Tamil Nadu	10%	Manufacturing

Source: FACTLY, 2024

Table 2 indicates that five states collectively account for more than 75% of India's fire accidents, with Madhya Pradesh leading at 23%. This concentration reflects correlations between industrialization, urban density, and fire incidence. Statistically, the five-state dominance reveals significant spatial clustering, suggesting that targeted state-level policy interventions, modernization of fire services, and stricter National Building Code enforcement in these regions could disproportionately reduce the national fire fatality burden.

Table 3. Causes of Fire Accidents in India (2022)

Cause	Number of Cases	Percentage
Electrical short circuits	1,567	20.7%
Gas cylinder/stove bursts	1,551	20.5%
Fireworks/crackers	151	2.0%
Other causes	4,297	56.8%

Source: NCRB ADSI Report, 2023

Table 3 reveals that electrical short circuits (20.7%) and gas cylinder bursts (20.5%) together account for over 41% of identified fire causes in 2022. The share of electrical causes rose from 3% in 1996 to 20.7% in 2022, reflecting increased electrification and aging wiring infrastructure. The substantial "other causes" category (56.8%) indicates inadequate investigation and data granularity, statistically limiting targeted prevention. Enhanced forensic fire investigation protocols are needed to refine causal attribution.

Table 4. Effectiveness of Fire Sprinklers in Property Types (NFPA Data)

Property Type	Reduction in Death Rate with Sprinklers
Hotels and motels	91%
Healthcare (aged/sick)	75%
Stores and offices	74%
Manufacturing	60%

Overall (2015–2019)	89%

Source: Ahrens & Evarts, 2021 (NFPA)

Table 4 demonstrates that automatic sprinklers substantially lower fire death rates across all property categories, with hotels showing the highest reduction of 91% and overall civilian death rates 89% lower in sprinklered structures between 2015–2019. Statistically, sprinkler activation confined flame damage to the room of origin in 97% of fires. These figures strongly support mandatory sprinkler provisions in India's high-rise and commercial buildings under NBC 2016 to achieve comparable life-safety outcomes.

Table 5. Performance of AI and IoT-based Fire Detection Systems (till 2024)

System / Study	Performance Metric	Key Improvement
Ensemble stacking ML (Won et al., 2024)	High-risk class captures 54% of fires	Better than single models
Transformer encoder (Jeong et al., 2024)	Superior on complex sensor sequences	Beats RNN baselines
ESP32 pre-fire embedded (Al Chalabi, 2024)	Very early detection	Low-cost deployment
Hybrid IoT-intelligent algorithm (Li et al., 2023)	Optimized evacuation routing	Minimum casualties
Multi-detector signal similarity (Yu et al., 2023)	Reduced false alarms	Real-time alerts

Source: Won et al., 2024; Jeong et al., 2024; Al Chalabi et al., 2024; Li et al., 2023; Yu et al., 2023

Table 5 shows that AI-IoT systems developed up to 2024 consistently demonstrate superior performance over conventional detectors. Ensemble machine learning models capture more than half of actual fires within the highest-risk class, while Transformer-based time-series models outperform recurrent networks on complex sensor data. Statistically, these advancements represent a generational leap over traditional smoke detectors, which suffer from high false-alarm rates. The convergence of deep learning, edge computing, and sensor fusion defines the 2024 technological frontier of fire safety engineering.

Table 6. Fire Loss Trends in India (NCRB, Selected Years)

Year	Fire Accident Cases	Fatalities	Women % of Fatalities
1999	27,976	~26,500	~60%
2014	18,450	19,513	~62%
2018	9,500	10,150	~60%
2022	7,566	7,435	~60%

Source: NCRB ADSI Reports; FACTLY, 2024

Table 6 shows a long-term declining trend in fire accidents from a peak of 27,976 cases in 1999 to 7,566 in 2022, representing approximately a 73% reduction. However, women have consistently constituted around 60% of fatalities, indicating structural gender-based vulnerability linked to kitchen-related fires and domestic combustible environments. Statistically, the persistent gender disproportion over two decades, despite overall case reduction, highlights that fire safety engineering advancements must also integrate socio-behavioural interventions targeting household safety practices.

6. Discussion

The findings of this study illustrate that fire safety engineering has evolved into a highly integrated, data-driven discipline capable of delivering quantifiable risk reduction in modern infrastructure. Aligned with the first objective, the results affirm that advancements in IoT-based detection, AI-driven prediction, performance-based design, and fire-resistant materials collectively form the technological backbone of contemporary fire risk management (Franchini et al., 2024; Khan et al., 2024). The progress documented through 2024 reflects a fundamental shift from reactive, sensor-triggered approaches to anticipatory intelligence systems capable of learning fire dynamics from complex spatial and temporal data (Jeong et al., 2024; Won et al., 2024). Such progress has been accompanied by notable improvements in evacuation modeling, where intelligent algorithms and hybrid optimization frameworks have demonstrated measurable improvements in smart-building evacuation routing (Li et al., 2023). In relation to the second objective, the analysis of NCRB and NFPA data provides a sobering contrast. Despite the 19% decline in fire accidents in India between 2020 and 2022, residential dwellings continue to account for more than half of all incidents, signaling that domestic fire safety remains inadequately addressed (NCRB, 2023; FACTLY, 2024). The state-wise concentration, with Madhya Pradesh, Maharashtra, Gujarat, Karnataka, and Tamil Nadu collectively accounting for over 75% of cases, suggests that regional industrialization patterns and urban densification directly influence fire risk exposure. Simultaneously, the causal analysis reveals the dominance of electrical short circuits and gas cylinder bursts, echoing Down To Earth (2024) reporting of AC unit failures and electrical surges during Indian heatwaves.

These national patterns contrast sharply with the effectiveness data on sprinklers. The 89% overall reduction in fire deaths where sprinklers are present, reported by Ahrens and Evarts (2021), underscores the life-saving potential of widespread automatic suppression deployment. However, sprinkler systems remain inconsistently installed across Indian commercial and residential structures, partly because the National Building Code 2016 remains advisory in nature and subject to variable state-level enforcement (Drishti IAS, 2024). This regulatory fragmentation, compounded by limited municipal investment in fire services, reveals a structural governance deficit that technological advances alone cannot address (Rush et al., 2020). Materials innovation further supports risk reduction. Reza (2023) and Kovalchuk et al. (2024) demonstrated that intumescent and reactive coatings can deliver R120 fire resistance on structural steel, a substantial enhancement over unprotected members. Kodur et al. (2020) had earlier argued that such protection, combined with performance-based structural fire design, offers the most rational pathway to balance cost, safety, and architectural flexibility. Yet, the practical integration of these materials in Indian construction remains limited, primarily due to cost constraints and supply-chain immaturity. Performance-based design, advocated by Craig and Naser (2024), offers a pathway to reconcile cost and safety by tailoring protection levels to realistic fire scenarios rather than uniform prescriptive rules.

Emerging concerns such as bridge fires, highlighted in Nature Communications by Franchini et al. (2024), indicate that fire safety engineering must now operate within a multi-hazard framework. The 2023 I-95 overpass collapse in Philadelphia illustrates how material failures, construction practices, and code-compliance lapses converge to produce catastrophic outcomes. In the Indian context, incidents such as the 2024 Rajkot TRP Game Zone fire, involving facilities operating without valid fire NOCs, reflect similar multi-factor failures rather than isolated technological gaps (Drishti IAS, 2024). The BIM-coupled evacuation planning frameworks and hybrid fire risk mapping methods described by Junfeng et al. (2023) offer concrete pathways for integrating design, detection, and evacuation in high-occupancy Indian structures such as hospitals, educational institutions, and commercial complexes. The results also reinforce the argument of Yu et al. (2023) that modern fire alarm systems must address the dual challenge of sensitivity and false-alarm reduction through multi-detector signal-similarity techniques. When integrated with the ensemble ML models of Won et al. (2024) and the Transformer-based forecasting of Jeong et al. (2024), these approaches collectively define a mature 2024-generation fire safety toolkit. Sharma et al. (2024) further extended this toolkit to municipal emergency-response planning, demonstrating that statistical and machine learning models can support resource allocation decisions at fire-station level. The Dampage et al. (2022) wireless-sensor foundation continues to underpin these developments, showing that even developing-country infrastructures can adopt IoT-led fire safety at reasonable cost.

7. Conclusion

Fire safety engineering for modern infrastructure has advanced substantially, driven by innovations in IoT-based detection, artificial intelligence, performance-based structural design, and high-performance fire-resistant materials. Evidence from NFPA indicates an 89% reduction in civilian fire deaths with sprinkler protection, while AI-IoT systems up to 2024 demonstrate superior detection performance and evacuation-support capability. However, Indian NCRB data revealing 7,435 fire fatalities in 2022, with residential dwellings accounting for over half, underscores a persistent implementation gap. Bridging this gap requires integrated strategies combining stricter NBC enforcement, investment in fire service modernization, adoption of performance-based design, and public awareness. Future research should focus on multi-hazard fire risk frameworks, climate-resilient fire engineering, and low-cost indigenous detection technologies tailored for developing-country infrastructure contexts.

References

1. Ahrens, M., & Evarts, B. (2021). *U.S. Experience with Sprinklers*. National Fire Protection Association. https://www.nfpa.org/News-and-Research/Data-research-and-tools/Suppression/US-Experience-with-Sprinklers
2. Al Chalabi, A., Al-Hussaini, O. A. A., & Sadik, M. (2024). Innovative pre-fire alert smart detection system-based embedded system. *AIP Advances*, *14*(5), 055211. https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0202541
3. Craig, D., & Naser, M. Z. (2024). A primer and success stories on performance-based fire design of structures. *Journal of Structural Fire Engineering*, *15*(2), 228–246. https://doi.org/10.1108/JSFE-01-2023-0002
4. Dampage, U., Bandaranayake, L., Wanasinghe, R., Kottahachchi, K., & Jayasanka, B. (2022). Forest fire detection system using wireless sensor networks and machine learning. *Scientific Reports*, *12*(1), 46. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-07230-3
5. Down To Earth. (2024). *Is the recent spate of building fires in India due to extreme heat? Yes, says expert*. https://www.downtoearth.org.in/climate-change/is-the-recent-spate-of-building-fires-in-india-due-to-extreme-heat-yes-says-expert
6. Drishti IAS. (2024). *Fire safety in India*. Drishti IAS Daily News Analysis. https://www.drishtiias.com/daily-updates/daily-news-analysis/fire-safety-in-india-3
7. FACTLY. (2024). *Data: Between 2014 & 2022, Madhya Pradesh, Maharashtra and Gujarat accounted for more than 50% of all fire accidents*. https://factly.in/data-between-2014-2022-madhya-pradesh-maharashtra-and-gujarat-accounted-for-more-than-50-of-all-fire-accidents/
8. Franchini, A., Barake, B., Galasso, C., Garlock, M. E. M., Mulligan, J., Quiel, S., & Torero, J. L. (2024). Integrating fire safety into bridge design is essential for resilient infrastructure. *Nature Communications*, *15*, 6629. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-024-49593-3

9. Jeong, Y.-S., Hwang, J., Lee, S., Ndomba, G. E., Kim, Y., & Kim, J.-I. (2024). Sensor-based indoor fire forecasting using Transformer encoder. *Sensors, 24*(7), 2379. https://doi.org/10.3390/s24072379
10. Junfeng, C., Maohua, Z., Peiyun, Q., Zeng, L., & Jiacheng, C. (2023). Mapping the fire risk in buildings: A hybrid method of ASET-RSET concept and FED concept. *Reliability Engineering & System Safety, 240*, 109571. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ress.2023.109571
11. Khan, F., Xu, Z., Sun, J., Khan, F. M., Ahmed, A., & Zhao, Y. (2024). From inception to innovation: A comprehensive review and bibliometric analysis of IoT-enabled fire safety systems. *Fire, 7*(11), 41. https://doi.org/10.3390/fire7110041
12. Kodur, V., Kumar, P., & Rafi, M. M. (2020). Fire hazard in buildings: Review, assessment and strategies for improving fire safety. *PSU Research Review, 4*(1), 1–23. https://doi.org/10.1108/PRR-12-2018-0033
13. Kovalchuk, A., Vakhitova, L., Taran, N., & Drizhd, V. (2024). Improving the fire-retardant performance of industrial reactive coatings for steel building structures. *Heliyon, 10*(15), e34729. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e34729
14. Li, W., Chai, Y., Khan, F., Jan, S. R. U., Verma, S., Menon, V. G., Kavita, F., & Li, X. (2023). A fire evacuation and control system in smart buildings based on the Internet of Things and a hybrid intelligent algorithm. *Fire, 6*(4), 171. https://doi.org/10.3390/fire6040171
15. National Crime Records Bureau. (2023). *Accidental Deaths and Suicides in India (ADSI) 2022*. Ministry of Home Affairs, Government of India. https://www.ncrb.gov.in/accidental-deaths-suicides-in-india-adsi.html
16. Reza, A. (2023). Steel fire resistance: Enhancing structural safety and performance in high-temperature environments. *Journal of Steel Structures & Construction, 9*(3), 194. https://doi.org/10.37421/2472-0437.2023.9.194
17. Rush, D., Bankoff, G., Cooper-Knock, S. J., Gibson, L., Hirst, L., Jordan, S., Spinardi, G., Twigg, J., & Walls, R. S. (2020). Fire risk reduction on the margins of an urbanizing world. *Disaster Prevention and Management, 29*(5), 747–760. https://doi.org/10.1108/DPM-06-2020-0191
18. Sharma, D. P., Beigi-Mohammadi, N., Geng, H., Leon-Garcia, A., Dixon, D., Madro, R., Emmenegger, P., Tobar, C., & Li, J. (2024). Statistical and machine learning models for predicting fire and other emergency events. *arXiv Preprint*. https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2402.09553
19. Won, J., Lee, D., & Lee, C. (2024). Comprehensive building fire risk prediction using machine learning and stacking ensemble methods. *Fire, 7*(10), 336. https://doi.org/10.3390/fire7100336
20. Yu, M., Yuan, H., Li, K., & Wang, J. (2023). Research on multi-detector real-time fire alarm technology based on signal similarity. *Fire Safety Journal, 136*, 103724. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.firesaf.2023.103724